

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,901	03/04/2002	Donald E. Weder	8403.519 9393	
30589	7590 04/27/2004	EXAMINER		
DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C.			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
PO BOX 16370 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	7
	•		DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	, 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>				
~		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/091,901	WEDER, DONALD E.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•		Christopher R Harmon	3721		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is is is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 22 and 27-35 is/are pending in the applead of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 22 27-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine of The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the correction of the corre	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment	` '	. □			
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/091,901

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22 and 27-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker (US 5,712,020) in view of Rosner (US 4,474,110).

Parker discloses a method for providing a packing material in the form of decorative grass wherein the packing material comprises a plurality of individual segments (see column 3, lines 33-38). The method disclosed by Parker comprises the steps of providing a roll of material having a printed and embossed patterns disposed on at least a portion of one surface thereof (see column 6, lines 11-17; and column 13, line 66 through column 14, line 1); withdrawing a portion of the material from the roll (see column 14, lines 7-8); slitting the material to provide a slit web (see column 15, lines 40-44); and cutting the web of material to provide a plurality of segments (see column 14, lines 10-l4).

Parker does not directly disclose a printed design which creates a threedimensional printed pattern on a surface of the elongated segments; however, it is well known in the art to print sheet materials with foamable inks (see column 8, lines 28-47 of Rosner). Application/Control Number: 10/091,901 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to print the sheet material of Parker with any known type of ink for the printed design. The application of a foamable ink onto the elongated segments would inherently produce a three-dimensional printed pattern. Furthermore, it is within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the elongated segments with both foamable and non-foamable inks, depending on the desired appearance of the segments.

Parker also does not disclose that the printed designs or printed materials and the embossing are out of registry; however, this is simply a design choice that would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the printed pattern would crack, flake, blur, and/or stick together, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/091,901

Art Unit: 3721

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is 703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4